

**TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM  
PROJECT DATA  
TO SUPPORT  
MAJOR PROJECTS  
FUNDING APPLICATION**

PROJECT NAME \_\_\_\_\_ SPONSOR ID \_\_\_\_\_

TIP ID # \_\_\_\_\_

SPONSOR PRIORITY \_\_\_\_\_

**SAFETY BENEFITS**

1. What are the safety problems in the project area? Describe recent accident history, lack of lighting, substandard geometry, etc. (3 year history)

Scoring:	Level of Safety Problems	Points
	High	20
	Medium	10
	Low	5

2. How does the project propose to address the safety conditions in the project area?

Scoring:	Secondary multiplier - Subjective 0 to 1
1.	1 = The project will likely solve all of the safety problems in the project area.
2.	.75 = The project will make a major contribution to eliminating the safety problems in the project area.
3.	.5 = The project will make a minor contribution to eliminating the safety problems in the project area.
4.	0 = The project will not contribute to eliminating the safety problems in the project area.

Total Safety Score = \_\_\_\_\_ points x \_\_\_\_\_ multiplier = \_\_\_\_\_ (Max of 20 points)

**SYSTEM PRESERVATION**

3. What is the average Pavement Condition Index, Bridge Sufficiency Index, or other infrastructure condition in the project area?

Roadway Pavements		Bridges and other structures	
Condition	Points	Condition	Points
Good	1	Good (80-100)	1
Fair	5	Fair (50-80)	5
Poor	10	Poor (under 50)	10

\* Projects that do not address the identified condition problems get zero points.

Total System Preservation Score = \_\_\_\_\_ (Max of 10 points)

**NUMBER OF USERS WHO WILL BENEFIT**

4. What is the average ADT on the most recent PAG traffic volumes maps? If the count is more than one year old, give the year the count was taken.

Existing ADT:

Estimated Future ADT (2025):

Scoring: Total score is the sum of both tables below.

Existing Conditions		Future Conditions (2025)	
ADT	Points	ADT	Points
70,000 or more	6		
55,000 - 69,999	5	60,000 or more	4
40,000 - 54,999	4	40,000 – 54,999	3
25,000 - 39,999	3	25,000 – 39,000	2
10,000 - 24,999	2	10,000 – 24,999	1
less than 10,000	1	less than 10,000	0

Total User Benefit Score = \_\_\_\_\_ (Max of 10 points)

CONGESTION BENEFITS

5. Is the project considered to be a “significant” project according to PAG’s Congestion Management Process (CMP)\*?

\* PAG’s Congestion Management Process defines a “significant” project as: any project of one (1) mile or more that adds new general purpose travel lanes to an existing or new roadway.

YES \_\_\_\_\_

NO \_\_\_\_\_

\* A “significant” project is expected to result in a noteworthy increase in the carrying capacity for single occupant vehicles (SOVs) and have impacts on air quality. These projects are required to be addressed through a congestion management process. Projects meeting this requirement should answer questions 5(a) through 5(d) and identify congestion management strategies that are incorporated as part of the project. The PAG Congestion Management Strategies Toolbox Worksheet (Appendix A) provides a list of congestion management strategies for incorporation as part of general transportation projects.

5(a) Are Federal funds being used or requested to support the project?

YES \_\_\_\_\_

NO \_\_\_\_\_

5(b) Is the project a significant increase in single occupant vehicle (SOV) capacity?

YES \_\_\_\_\_

NO \_\_\_\_\_

If “NO” please explain:

5(c) Does the project address a congestion issue as identified by PAG’s transportation system reporting or other source?

YES \_\_\_\_\_

NO \_\_\_\_\_

If “YES” please explain the congestion issue, how it has been identified and how the project will address the issue:

5(d) Does the project commit to the inclusion of congestion management strategies as identified in the PAG CMP Strategies Toolbox or otherwise?



3. Paving dirt roads
4. Construction of new bicycle or pedestrian facilities
5. Reductions in VMT or promotes alternate fuel useage
6. Provision of landscaping
7. Provision of special wildlife accommodations
8. Noise mitigation beyond legal requirements
9. Flood control facilities or removal of dip crossings
10. Specific improvements to control existing erosion problems
11. Adding new curbing and/or paved shoulders

Scoring: Score one point for each of the above items addressed by the project.

Total Environmental Score = \_\_\_\_\_ (Max 10 points)

#### IMPROVED ACCESSIBILITY

11. How does the project improve access to public transit service? Address the following:
  1. New transit service.
  2. New transit amenities (shelters, sidewalk, etc.)
  3. Improved conditions on existing transit routes.

(Subjective up to 10 points)

12. How many lineal feet of new (not replacement) sidewalk or multi-use facility will be built with the project?

1 point for each 1000' of new (not replacement) sidewalk or multi-use facility (Max of 5 points)

Total Accessibility Score = \_\_\_\_\_ (Max of 15 points)

#### IMPROVE SYSTEM CONTINUITY

13. Does the project contribute to the continuity of the system by completing missing links or extending a major corridor? If yes, please describe.

Scoring: Roadway missing links or extensions = 10 points  
 Sidewalk missing links or extensions = 2 points  
 Shoulders/bike path missing links or extensions = 2 points

Total Continuity score = \_\_\_\_\_ (Max of 10 points)

**REGIONAL SIGNIFICANCE**

14. To what degree is the project consistent with local and regional land use plans?

Scoring: \* Specifically listed in the RTP = 1 point  
 Specifically listed in sponsor's general plan = 4 points  
 Specifically listed in multiple jurisdiction's general plans = 9 points  
 \* Reconstruction and major maintenance projects will be considered to be listed in both the RTP and the sponsor's local plans.

15. Does the project facilitate travel to destinations of significant regional importance? (Score 1 point for each of the following destinations served to a maximum of 3. Must be within 2 miles of the destination and directing traffic toward the destination.)

- |   |                                  |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. Mt. Lemmon                               | 12. All PCC Campus'              |
| 2. TIA                                      | 13. Sabino Canyon                |
| 3. Desert Museum                            | 14. Tucson Convention Center     |
| 4. Davis Monthan                            | 15. Pima Air Museum              |
| 5. Tucson Mall                              | 16. All Casinos                  |
| 6. University of Arizona & Tech Park        | 17. La Encantada Shopping Center |
| 7. Park Mall                                | 18. Town Centers                 |
| 8. El Con Mall                              | 19. Jewish Community Center      |
| 9. Foothills Mall                           | 20. Others to be identified      |
| 10. All Major Hospitals                     | 21. San Xavier Mission del Bac   |
| 11. Sahuaro National Monument (East & West) |                                  |

Total Regional Significance score = \_\_\_\_\_ (Max of 10 points)

**SUMMARY**

Item	Points	Item	Points
Safety Benefits		Environmental Benefits	
System Preservation		Improved Accessibility	
Benefiting Users		System Continuity	
Congestion Benefits		Regional Significance	

Total Score = \_\_\_\_\_